



Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744) 1 VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT Laura Grace Van Note, Esq. (S.B. #310160) **COLE & VAN NOTE** 2 FILED 555 12th Street, Suite 2100 07/18/2024 Oakland, California 94607 3 Telephone: (510) 891-9800 Brenda L. McCormick Facsimile: (510) 891-7030 4 Executive Officer and Clerk Email: sec@colevannote.com Email: lvn@colevannote.com 5 Elizabeth Müller Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff 6 and the Plaintiff Class 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA 10 11 VERONICA HUBBARD, individually, Case No. 56-2023-00576342-CU-NP-VTA and on behalf of all others similarly 12 situated, COLE & VAN NOTE
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 12¹¹ STREET, SUITE 2100
OAKLAND, CA 94607
TEL. (510) 891-9800 CLASS ACTION 13 Plaintiff, (PROPOSED) ORDER AND JUDGMENT: 14 (1) GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF 15 **CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT;** LIVINGSTON MEMORIAL VNA (2) AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND HEALTH CORP., LIVINGSTON COSTS TO CLASS COUNSEL; AND 16 MEMORIAL VISITING NURSE (3) AWARDING ENHANCEMENT TO THE ASSOCIATION, LIVINGSTON REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF 17 CAREGIVERS, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, June 6, 2024 18 Date: Time: 8:20 a.m. 19 **Dept.: 40** Defendants. Judge: Hon. Mark S. Borrell 20 21 22 This matter came before the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County 23 of Ventura, Department 40, at 8:20 a.m. on June 20, 2024 with Cole & Van Note appearing as 24 counsel for Representative Plaintiff Veronica Hubbard, individually, and on behalf of the 25 Settlement Class, and O'Hagan Meyer appearing for Defendants Livingston Memorial VNA 26 Health Corp., Livingston Memorial Visiting Nurse Association and Livingston Caregivers. The 27 Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all matters presented to the

28

| 1 | Court and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class |
|----|---|
| 2 | Action Settlement. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | <u>FINDINGS</u> |
| 5 | Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the |
| 6 | motion, the Court makes the following findings: |
| 7 | 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the proposed |
| 8 | Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"). |
| 9 | 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the above-captioned litigation |
| 10 | and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Settlement Class. |
| 11 | Preliminary Approval of the Settlement |
| 12 | 3. On March 8, 2024, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-wide |
| 13 | settlement. At this same time, the Court approved certification of a provisional Settlement Class |
| 14 | for settlement purposes only. |
| 15 | Notice to the Plaintiff Class |
| 16 | 4. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed |
| 17 | by first class mail to the Settlement Class Members at their last known addresses on or about March |
| 18 | 19, 2024. Mailing the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice practicable |
| 19 | under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the |
| 20 | litigation and the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class. 3,451 Notices were undeliverable. |
| 21 | 5. According to the Claims Administrator, there are 711 members of the Settlement |
| 22 | Class who will receive a benefit from a Settlement Claim. The deadline for opting out or objecting |
| 23 | has passed and there are two Settlement Class Members who have done so. There was an adequate |
| 24 | interval between mailing of the Notice and the deadline to permit Settlement Class Members to |
| 25 | choose what to do and act on their decision. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Fairness of the Settlement 6. The Agreement is er

- 6. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*, 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).
- 7. There has been no collusion between the parties in reaching the proposed settlement.
- 8. Plaintiff's investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.
- 9. Counsel for both parties are experienced in similar data breach class action litigation. All counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.
- 10. The consideration to be given to the Settlement Class Members under the terms of the Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable, and adequate compensation for the release of Settlement Class Members' claims, given the uncertainties and risks of the litigation and the delays which would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.
- 11. The proposed Agreement is approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and in the best interests of Settlement Class Members.

Attorneys' Fees/Expenses

- 12. The Agreement provides for (and Class Counsel seeks) an award of up to \$250,000 to Class Counsel as attorneys' fees in this action, plus reasonable litigation costs and expenses, up to a cap of \$20,000. This was negotiated separately from and will be paid separately and independently from the monies paid to Settlement Class Members for their claims. As such, this award will not affect the amount of money any Settlement Class Member will receive for their claims.
- 13. The award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses are reasonable, in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the substantial amount of work actually performed such that Class Counsel will not receive a windfall incommensurate with the time and effort dedicated to the case, the risks assumed, the results achieved by Class Counsel, and

due to the significant amount of work Class Counsel anticipates post-final approval of the settlement.

Service Award

14. The Agreement provides for a Service Award of up to \$5,000 for the Representative Plaintiff Veronica Hubbard, subject to the Court's approval. This money is to be separately from the Settlement Fund out of which Settlement Class Members' claims will be paid. The Court finds this Service Award reasonable in light of the risks and burdens undertaken by Representative Plaintiff in this action and for her time and effort in bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Settlement Class.

Cy Pres Award

15. The Agreement provides for the total amount of uncashed settlement share checks to be paid to a charitable organization as a *cy pres* recipient that is agreed upon by Livingston, Class Counsel and The Court. The organization that Livingston and Class Counsel have agreed upon to be the *cy pres* recipient is the Electronic Privacy Information Center ("EPIC"). EPIC is a charitable organization that has a mission to secure the fundamental right to privacy in the digital age for all people. The Court finds EPIC to be an appropriate charitable organization as a *cy pres* recipient in this case.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Settlement Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Settlement Class is hereby defined as:

"All individuals within the State of California that received notice from Livingston Memorial VNA Health Corporation, Livingston Memorial Visiting Nurse Association and/or Livingston Caregivers of a Data Breach occurring between February 6, 2022 and February 11, 2022."

2. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$250,000, and expenses in the amount of \$19,585.19. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff, or members of the Settlement Class.
 - 4. Payment of a Service Award in the amount of \$5,000 to Plaintiff Veronica Hubbard.
- 5. A Final Judgment in this action is hereby entered and this shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).
- 6. This Final Judgment shall bind each Settlement Class Member and shall operate as a full release and discharge of the Released Claims against the Released Parties. All rights to appeal the Final Judgment have been waived. This Final Judgment and Final Approval Order shall have res judicata effect and bar all Settlement Class Members from bringing any action asserting Settlement Class Members' Released Claims under the Agreement.
- 7. The Agreement and Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order a finding, of the validity of any claims in this action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released Parties may file in this case or any other proceeding this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the case as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims. Nothing set forth in this Order shall be construed to modify the absolute

obligation of the Representative Plaintiff to dismiss with prejudice, upon payment of the settlement amount set forth in the Agreement, all of her claims set forth in this action.

- 8. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment to individual Settlement Class Members, which shall be posted on the settlement website. The time for any appeal shall run from service of notice of entry of the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment by Class Counsel on Defendant.
- 9. After entry of this Order and Final Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Agreement and this Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 10. In the event the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or is terminated, cancelled, or otherwise fails to become effective for any reason, then this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

 FFID ON ARCA KI ARCE
- 11. A Compliance Hearing is hereby set for _____ a.m./p.m. in Department 40 of this Court. At least ten (10) court days before the Compliance Hearing, Class Counsel shall submit a Case Management Conference Statement, accompanied by a Declaration from the Claims Administrator (including a summary accounting identifying the distributions made, the number and value of any uncashed checks, the status of any unresolved issues, and any other matters appropriate to evaluate the effectiveness and completeness of the distribution).

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 07/17/2024 By: Hon, Mark S. Borrell